



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATI	E	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY	DOCKET NO.	
08/809,7	/23 05/	(21/97 OHK)	l H	18-	-971-0-PC	ľ

HM11/1221

OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER AND NEUSTADT FOURTH FLOOR 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY ARLINGTON VA 22202

MARSHALL, S  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		EXA	MINER
ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		MARSH	ALL,S
ANT ONT TAFER NOMBE		ADT HAIT	DADED NUMBER
4 of Etc. A	1609		FAREN NOWINER

DATE MAILED:

12/21/98

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

## ADVISORY ACTION

	ADVISORY ACTI	ON
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:		
a) is extended to run	or continues to run	from the date of the final rejection
b) expires three months from the cevent however, will the statuton	date of the final rejection or as of the my period for the response expire later t	nailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no han six months from the date of the final rejection.
The date on which the response purposes of determining the per	e, the petition, and the fee have been riod of extension and the correspondir	FR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee filed is the date of the response and also the date for the g amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR atutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
Appellant's Brief is due in accordant		
Applicant's response to the final reje to place the application in condition	ection, filed $12/7/98$ has to for allowance:	een considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed
1. The proposed amendments to the	ne daim and /or specification will not b	e entered and the final rejection stands because:
a. There is no convincing shopresented.	owing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the	proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier
b. They raise new issues that	t would require further consideration a	ind/or search. (See Note).
c. They raise the issue of ne	w matter. (See Note).	
d. They are not deemed to appeal.	place the application in better form for	appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
e. They present additional c	laims without cancelling a correspondi	ing number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:		
·	+	
<ol> <li>Newlý proposed or amended cla the non-allowable claims.</li> </ol>	aims would be all	owed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling
<ol> <li>Upon the filing an appeal, the pr be as follows:</li> </ol>	oposed amendment 🔲 will be entere	ed [] will not be entered and the status of the claims will
Claims allowed:		
· ·	······································	
Claims rejected:		<u></u>
However;		
Applicant's response has of	vercome the following rejection(s):	
attachments. The	. data shown in	and but does not overcome the rejection because Security and the declarahm is not
commensurate. In	scope with The	protection songut
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will not be presented.</li> </ol>	considered because applicant has no	ot shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier
The proposed drawing correction	has has not been approved by	the examiner.
Other		

Application/Control Number: 08/809723

Art Unit: 1654

**Advisory Action Cont.** 

The declaration submitted on March 2, 1998 has been carefully considered but is

Page 2

not probative. Applicant's declaration is not commensurate in scope with the protection sought

because they have not presented data showing the following compounds of the instant invention

to possess superior properties over the prior art compounds: ar (C2-C6)alkanoyl substituted with

aryl having one or three substituents; wherein the substituents are selected from naphthoyl having

higher alkoxy, phenyl having a heterocyclic group and an oxo .... and compounds wherein aroyl is

substituted by a halogen.

Cecilia J. Tsang Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600

eal Tsas